

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>		
	09/180,432		MACHINO ET AL.		
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>		
	Ula C Ruddock		1771		

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Ula C Ruddock.

(2) Daniel Geselowitz.

**Date of Interview:** 26 August 2004

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

55 and 56

  

Prior art documents discussed:

McCullough, Jr. et al. in view of Otani et al.

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant has agreed to cancel claims 55 and 56 in order to overcome the rejection and have the case allowed.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  

**ULA RUDDOCK**

**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)